

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/932,517	08/17/2001	Edgar Michael Fitzsimons	4301-4000	6328
75	90 05/07/2004		EXAMINER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.			LUU, SY D	
345 Park Avenu New York, NY	<del>-</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2174	

DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h

•			11
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	09/932,517	FITZSIMONS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1
	Sy D Luu	2174	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day if apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/21	1/02 and prior.		
	action is non-final.	,	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2001 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application from the prior application for a list of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	•		
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8, 10.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2174

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: reference was made to a figure that does not exist, specifically to "FIG. 18" on page 10, line 18.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 1-2, 4, 11, 17-18 and 23 are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a) claim 1: on line 9, "the plurality of images" should be changed to ---the plurality of source images---
  - b) claim 2: on line 1, "claim I" should be changed to --claim 1--;
  - c) claim 4: on line 1 "inflame 3" should be changed to --claim 3 --;
  - d) claims 11, 17, 18 and 23: on lines 3, 2, 3, and 2, references to "30", "a5", "a10" and "a5" respectively should be corrected.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

ر وي

Art Unit: 2174

4. Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arora et al. ("Arora", US 2002/0023111A1) in view of Fisher (US 6,331,858 B2).

As per claims 1 and 3, Arora teaches a<sub>t</sub>method of constructing a composite image within an image space of a webpage, such method comprising: dividing the image space of the composite image into a plurality of subspaces, designating a subspace of the plurality of subspaces for receipt of a selected image of the plurality of images (abstract; pages 3 and 5, paragraphs 76-78 and 94; selecting and dragging/dropping display elements such as images to their designated subspaces to define a webpage layout); and resizing the selected image to fit the designated subspace of the composite image, and displaying the resized image in the designated subspace (page 5, paragraph 103; and page 6, paragraph 109; sizing function).

While Arora teaches the steps of selecting and dragging/dropping display elements to their designated subspaces, Arora does not expressly disclose the step of displaying a plurality of source images (display elements) within a content area of the webpage for selecting/dragging/dropping a desired image. Fisher teaches a web browsing user interface for selecting a desired texture image within a content area of a webpage to be applied to a designated subspace on the webpage (abstract; fig. 3; col. 3, lines 37-40; col. 4, lines 17-45). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine Fisher's teaching of displaying a plurality of image choices in a content area of the webpage with Arora's method in order to facilitate user's viewing and selecting desired images.

As per claim 2, Arora teaches the step of using a mark-up language to encode the composite image (page 1, paragraph 10).

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 4, Arora teaches the step of displaying a plurality of text images within the content area (page 5, paragraph 94).

As per claim 5, Arora teaches the step of designating a subspace of the plurality of subspaces for receipt of a selected text image of the plurality of text images (page 3, paragraph 78; and page 5, paragraphs 94 and 96).

As per claim 6, Arora teaches the step of resizing the selected text image of the plurality of text images to fit the designated space (page 5, paragraph 103; sizing function).

As per claim 7, Arora teaches the step of displaying the resized text image in the designated subspace (page 5, paragraphs 95 and 99; previewing)

As per claims 8-9, Arora's method discloses a Text Tool for creating a text display element (page 5, paragraph 107), but Arora does not explicitly teach the steps of editing text within a text image of the plurality of text images, and editing a content of the text image within the designated space. Official Notice is taken that the step of editing text and/or content of a text image is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include such a feature in order to allow users to modify texts that have already been entered.

As per claim 10, Arora teaches the step of dividing a subspace of the plurality of subspaces into a text area and an image area (fig. 5; page 5, paragraph 94).

As per claim 11, Arora teaches the step of dragging a text image of the plurality of text images to the text area of the divided subspace (fig. 5; page 5, paragraph 94).

As per claim 12, Arora teaches the step of dragging a source image of the plurality of source images to the image area of the divided subspace (fig. 5; page 5, paragraph 94).

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 13, Arora teaches the step of disposing lines around a subspace of the

Page 5

plurality of subspaces (fig. 5; outline of a border around image 502 and text 504).

Claims 14-26 are similar in scope to claims 1-13 respectively, and are therefore rejected

under similar rationale.

Claims 27-39 are similar in scope to claims 1-13 respectively, and are therefore rejected

under similar rationale.

Inquires

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sy Luu whose telephone number is (703) 305-0409. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 7:00 am to 4:30 pm (EST). The

examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 308-0640.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

(703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

SY D. LUU

PRIMARY EXAMINER